Exploring Interdisciplinary Connections in Duke Ph.D. Committees Matthew Epland



Methods

Graph connections between academic organizations found in Duke Ph.D. committees
 For each committee, find all combinations of committee member's non-administrative appointments
 For each combination, increase the graph's corresponding edge weight (w) by 1



Find communities of organizations (nodes) in graph via the Louvain method [1]
 Construct communities by optimizing the density of interior to exterior edges (modularity)

Measuring Interdisciplinary Activity

▶ Compute "Interdisciplinary Fraction" f = w_{external}/(w_{external} + w_{self})
 ▶ Bin graph by academic year to observe any changes over time
 ▶ Plot the top 10 organizations by total weight for each community
 ▶ For satisfactory statistics require w_{total} > 100 per year, for ≥ 3 years



Academic Organizations Graph



All Years

Schools

- Trinity College of Arts & Sciences
- ▲ School of Medicine
- ▼ Institutes & Provost's Academic Units
- Pratt School of Engineering
- Nicholas School of the Environment
- ★ Sanford School of Public Policy
- Fuqua School of Business
- ★ Duke Law School
- ★ Divinity School
- ★ School of Nursing

Louvain Communities

- "School of Medicine"
- Neuro
- Physical Sciences
- Social Science / Health, Pro Schools
- Liberal Arts
- Bio / Evolution

1 10 100 500 1868 Edge Weight

Node Size ~ log(Total Weight)

Conclusions

Identified communities of closely connected academic organizations at Duke

Most as expected, but with interesting Neuro cluster, and insular Biology / Evolutionary Anthropology paring
 Measured interdisciplinary activity via organization's external/total edge weights
 Most large organizations in the Physical Sciences and Neuro clusters were steady at f ≈ 90 - 95%
 Excluding the noticeably lower & more variable Physics, Psychology and Neuroscience, and Philosophy departments
 As a community the Liberal Arts were lower at f ≈ 75 - 90%, but suffered from poor statistics

Potential Future Improvements

Non-Ph.D. granting orgs have low statistics and artificially high interdisciplinary fractions
 Collect data on other graduate degrees (M.D. J.D., ...) to improve professional school statistics
 Could create a similar graph from publication author lists to better include institutes & centers

Try different weighting schemes to isolate secondary appts from interdisciplinary committees

References

[1] V. D. Blondel, J.-L. Guillaume, R. Lambiotte, and E. Lefebvre, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2008 (2008) P10008, http://stacks.iop.org/1742-5468/2008/i=10/a=P10008.
[2] A. A. Hagberg, D. A. Schult, and P. J. Swart, *Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX*, in *Proceedings of the 7th Python in Science Conference (SciPy2008)*.

Scholars@Duke Visualization Challenge 2018

January 22, 2018

matthew.epland@duke.edu